

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address; COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,703	04/19/2001	Brian R. Dershem	P7926/00-868	7105
7590 03/26/2004 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			DRAPER, DEANN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/905,703	DERSHEM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Deanna L. Draper	3616 MY				
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutes are reply reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>19 <i>April 2001</i></u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2001 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	dare: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecton to the drawing(s) be held in abeyandelection is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
•	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Apother the priority documents have been to be all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	·					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC</li> </ol>		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.6.		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/905,703

Art Unit: 3616

### Acknowledgements

The Information Disclosure Statements filed by the Applicant on March 19, 2002 and October 9, 2002 are acknowledged.

#### Claim Objections

Claims 10, 11, 13 and 14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 10 and 11 appear to depend from Claim 9 rather than Claim 8, and Claims 13 and 14 appear to depend from Claim 12 rather than Claim 11. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6 – 8, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bauer et al. (US 4,150,474). Bauer discloses a method of manufacturing for a skid steer loader, including an undercarriage (70 in Fig. 3) including at least one crossmember (74, 75 in Fig. 3), a main frame assembly (24 in Fig. 2, 3), having a base/lower portion (50 in Fig. 3) with a front (52 in Fig. 8) and rear (55 in Fig. 8) section, and at least one recessed channel (see attachment)

Application/Control Number: 09/905,703

Art Unit: 3616

positioned between the front and rear sections on the base portion of the frame, extending across the width of the base portion and operably associated with a respective crossmember of the undercarriage for mounting thereto, with a vertical load being evenly distributed over the entire crossmember as it engages with the recess. Bauer also discloses a pair of space tower assemblies (58 in Fig. 3), a pair of side members (51) having front and rear portions with each side member being connected to a respective tower assembly (see Fig. 3), and a crossmember extending between the pair of tower assemblies (see 61), a lower frame assembly (50 in Fig. 3) having a pair of spaced vertically oriented side rails, and the upper frame is mounted to the lower frame assembly between the side members of the upper frame and the side rails of the lower frame (see 50 and 51).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 – 5, 9 – 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer et al. (US 4,150,474) as applied to Claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Zimmermann (US 5,293,949). Bauer discloses the invention as claimed, including an upper frame assembly having an operator cab (16 in Fig. 2) and a hydraulic lift arm (33 in Fig. 2), and a recessed channel that defines a strengthened region operable to provide torsional stiffness to the skid steer loader, however the undercarriage is not a tracked undercarriage with left and right

Art Unit: 3616

track assemblies connected by at least one cross member. Zimmerman discloses a chassis for an endless track type vehicle including an undercarriage with right and left track assemblies (13 in Fig. 3) connected by at least one cross member (11 in Fig. 3) in order to provide ease in traveling rocky terrain. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify Bauer by including an undercarriage with right and left track assemblies connected by at least one cross member in order to provide ease in traveling rocky terrain as taught by Zimmerman. Further, the examiner notes that it is well known in the art to substitute tracks for wheels.

Regarding the material being a medium strength steel, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use medium strength steel, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Albright et al. (US 4,962,825) discloses a skid steer loader and (US 4,955,455) a method for assembling a skid steer loader. Anderson et al. (US 6,293,364) discloses a lower frame assembly. Jespersen (US 3,776,325) discloses an all terrain vehicle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deanna L. Draper whose telephone number is 703-306-5939. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 - 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,703

Art Unit: 3616

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul N. Dickson can be reached on 703-308-2089. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dld

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600** 

Fig. 3

